Environmental Product Declaration

Statement of Verification CARES EPD No.: 0026 Issue 01 This is to verify that the Environmental Product Declaration

Provided by: Sohar Steel Rolling (FZC) LLC

CARES

Is in accordance with the requirements of: ISO 14025:2010 and EN 15804:2012 + A2:2019/AC2021 and BRE Global PCR for Type III EPD of Construction Products to EN 15804+A2. PN514 3.1

This declaration is for: Carbon Steel Billet (Secondary production route - scrap)

Company address:

PO Box: 542, PC 322, Sohar Freezone Area Sohar Sultanate of Oman





ALON.VERIFIED

TUNQOBE TATUN

LadinCamci	Ladin Camci	29 May 2025
Signed for CARES	Operator	Date of this Issue
29 May 2025		28 May 2028
First Issue Date		Expiry Date

The validity of this Environmental Product Declaration can be verified by contacting CARES on +44 (0)1732 450 000 or visiting CARES website <u>https://www.carescertification.com/certification-schemes/environmental-product-declarations</u>.

CARES, Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent TN13 1XR

Environmental Product Declaration **EPD Number: CARES EPD 0026** General Information

EPD Programme Operator	CARES Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent, TN13 1XR UK www.carescertification.com
Applicable Product Category Rules	BRE Global Product Category Rules (PCR) for Type III EPD of Construction Products to EN 15804+A2. PN514 3.1
Commissioner of LCA study	CARES Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent, TN13 1XR UK <u>www.carescertification.com</u>
LCA consultant/Tool	CARES EPD Tool version 2.8 SPHERA SOLUTIONS UK LIMITED The Innovation Centre Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick, Warwickshire CV34 6UW UK www.sphera.com
Declared/Functional Unit	1 tonne of carbon steel billet manufactured by the secondary (scrap-based) production route
Applicability/Coverage	Manufacturer-specific product produced at a single plant of one manufacturer
ЕРД Туре	Cradle to Gate with Modules C1-C4 and Module D
Background database	LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1)

Demonstration of Verification

CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the core PCR $^{\rm a}$

Independent verification of the declaration and data according to EN ISO 14025:2010

(Where appropriate ^b) Third party verifier: Dr Jane Anderson

a: Product category rules

b: Optional for business-to-business communication; mandatory for business-to-consumer communication (see EN ISO 14025:2010, 9.4)

Comparability

Environmental product declarations from different programmes may not be comparable if not compliant with EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC2021. Comparability is further dependent on the specific product category rules, system boundaries and allocations, and background data sources. See Clause 5.3 of EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC2021 for further guidance

Information modules covered

Р	roduct Sta	age	Constr Sta				ι	Jse Sta	age			E	ind-of-l	ife Stag	je	Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary
A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B 3	B4	B 5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Raw materials supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport to site	Construction – Installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	Deconstruction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse, Recovery and/or Recycling potential
~	\checkmark	~	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Note: Checks indicate the Information Modules declared.

Manufacturing site

Sohar Steel Rolling (FZC) LLC PO Box: 542, PC 322, Sohar Freezone Area Sohar Sultanate of Oman

Construction Product:

Product Description

Carbon Steel Billet is non-alloy or low-alloy steel semi-product. Carbon steel billets (according to product standards listed in Summary, Comments and Additional Information) that are manufactured by melting scrap in an IF (Induction Furnace), followed by continuous casting.

Carbon steel billet is used as raw material in the hot rolling process to produce reinforcing steels or wire rods for direct use or to produce wire rods for further processing into reinforcing steels or other structural steel forms.

The declared unit is 1 tonne of carbon steel billets manufactured by the secondary (scrap-based) production route.

Environmental Product Declaration

Technical Information

	Property	Value, Unit
	Production route	Induction Furnace
	Density	7850 kg/m ³
4	Recycled content (as per ISO 14021:2016/Amd:2021)	95.8 % (Including internal and external scrap) 95.1 % (Including external scrap only)

Main Product Contents

Material/Chemical Input	%
Fe	97
C, Mn, Si, V, Ni, Cu, Cr, Mo and others	3

Manufacturing Process

Steel scrap (a limited amount of DRI can also be added) is melted in an IF (Induction Furnace) to obtain liquid metal. This is then refined through secondary metallurgy processes to remove impurities and make alloying additions to give the steel the required properties.


Refined liquid steel is then cast into steel billets in required dimensions to be used as feedstock for the manufacture of rolled constructional steel products.

Steel billets are hot rolled and shaped to the required dimensions for the finished bars of reinforcing steel bar, coil or rod for the reinforcement of concrete for direct use or wire rod for further processing into other reinforcing standards or other forms of structural steels as used in a built structure.

The carbon steel billet products may be packaged by binding with steel wire or straps, either of the steel ties and products do not include any biogenic materials.

Process flow diagram







Construction Installation

Processing and proper use of reinforcing steel and structural steel products manufactured from carbon steel billets depends on the application and should be made in accordance with generally accepted practices, standards and manufacturing recommendations.

During transport and storage of reinforcing steel and structural steel products the usual requirement for securing loads is to be observed.

Use Information

The composition of the reinforcing steel and other structural steel products manufactured from carbon steel billets does not change during use.

Carbon steel reinforcing steel and other structural steel products do not cause adverse health effects under normal conditions of use.

No risks to the environment and living organisms are known to result from the mechanical destruction of the reinforcing steel and structural steel product itself.

End of Life

Reinforcing steel and other structural steel products manufactured from carbon steel feedstock billets are not reused at end of life but can be recycled to the same (or higher/lower) quality of steel depending upon the metallurgy and processing of the recycling route.

It is a high value resource, so efforts are made to recycle steel scrap rather than disposing of it at EoL. A recycling rate of 92% is typical for reinforcing steel products

Life Cycle Assessment Calculation Rules

This EPD uses the "Cut-off by Classification" method, also known as the recycled content method. It assigns the environmental impacts of primary material production to the initial user. Recyclable materials enter the recycling process without burdens, and secondary materials only bear the impacts of recycling.

This method promotes recycling by making producers responsible for waste management. It supports a circular economy by reducing the environmental impacts of primary material production.

This approach follows ISO 14040 and ISO 14044 standards for Life Cycle Assessments.

The Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) has been carried out using the characterisation method described in EN 15804+A2. The characterisation factors from Environmental Footprint v3.0 (EF 3.0) was applied.

Declared unit description

1 tonne of carbon steel billet manufactured by the secondary (scrap-based) production route.

System boundary

The system boundary of the EPD follows the modular design defined by EN 15804+A2. Type of this EPD is Cradle to Gate – with modules A1 to A3, modules C1-C4, and module D.

Impacts and aspects related to losses/wastage (i.e. production, transport and waste processing and end-of-life stage of lost waste products and materials) are considered in the modules in which the losses/wastage occur.

Once steel scrap has been collected for recycling it is considered to have reached the end of waste state.

Data sources, quality and allocation

Data Sources and Quality:

The selection of data and the data quality requirements have been provided according to the requirements of BS EN 15941:2024.

Data Sources: Manufacturing data of the period 01/11/2024 - 31/03/2025 has been provided by Sohar Steel Rolling (FZC) LLC operating on the geographical area noted in Manufacturing Site. A brief description of technology and inputs for the product is given in Manufacturing Process and in simplified Process Flow Diagram

The primary data collection was thorough, considering all relevant flows and these data were verified by CARES, including also the verification of mass balance, to ensure that data for all the inputs and outputs for the process over the period of data collection have been collected, and that the unit process data will comply with the cut-off rules of EN 15804. The EPD covers transport to, and end-of-life in Oman.

The selection of the background data for electricity generation is in line with the BRE Global PCR PN514 3.1. Country or region-specific power grid mixes are selected from LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1); thus, consumption grid mix of Oman has been selected to suit specific manufacturing location, and also for fabrication, installation and demolishing location. The emission factor of carbon footprint of the applied consumption grid mix of Oman in 0.607 kg CO₂ eq/kWh.

Data Quality: Background data is consistently sourced from the LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1). The primary data collection was thorough, considering all relevant flows and these data have been verified during the audit conducted by CARES in May 2025.

There isn't any data from different LCI/LCA databases are used considering that the overall consistency of the study is not adversely affected.

Schemes applied for data quality assessment was as per EN 15804:2012+A2:2019, Annex E, Table E.1 — Data quality level and criteria of the UN Environment Global Guidance on LCA database development. No fair, poor or very poor data was found during the assessment of relevant data.

Data quality level and criteria of the UN Environment Global Guidance on LCA database development:

Geographical Representativeness	: Good
Technical Representativeness	: Very good
Time Representativeness	: Good

Allocation:

Slag from Induction Furnace process and mill scale are produced as co-products from the steel manufacturing processes. Impacts are allocated between the steel, the slag and the mill scale based on economic value. The revenue generated from both mill scale, and induction furnace slag are 0.001% and 0.093% respectively, and their total is less than 1% in relation to the product based on current market prices, these co-products are of definite value and are freely/readily traded in reality. For this reason, economic allocation has been applied to the processes where these co-products arise.

Production losses of steel during the production process are recycled in a closed loop offsetting the requirement for external scrap. Specific information on allocation within the background data is given in the LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1).

Cut-off criteria

On the input side all flows entering the system and comprising more than 1% in total mass or contributing more than 1% to primary energy consumption are considered. All inputs used as well as all process-specific waste and process emissions were assessed. For this reason, material streams which were below 1% (by mass) were captured as well. In this manner the cut-off criteria according to the PCR requirements are fulfilled).

The mass of steel wire or strap used for binding the product bundle is less than 1 % of the total mass of the product.

LCA Results

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			GWP- total	GWP- fossil	GWP- biogenic	GWP- Iuluc	ODP	AP	EP- freshwate
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		kg CO ₂ eq	kg CO ₂ eq	kg CO ₂ eq	kg CO ₂ eq	kg CFC11 eq	mol H⁺ eq	Kg P ec
	Raw material	A1	105	105	-0.573	0.027	1.15E-10	0.77	1.72E-04
	Transport	A2	3.4	3.45	-0.037	0.025	2.83E-13	0.035	9.81E-06
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	481	481	-0.044	0.009	1.19E-11	1.67	1.91E-05
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	5.89E+02	5.89E+02	-0.653	0.061	1.27E-10	2.48	2.01E-04
Construction process	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Repair	B3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Use stage	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
%92 Recycling / %8 Lo	andfill Scenario					L		1	
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.05	2.05	8.04E-04	4.51E-05	6.29E-14	0.011	2.45E-07
End of life	Transport	C2	41.4	41.9	-0.898	0.407	4.04E-12	0.193	1.61E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.17	1.20	-0.040	0.004	3.05E-12	0.009	2.42E-06
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	261	262	-0.511	0.109	-7.67E-10	0.589	1.94E-05
100% Landfill Scenario				1				1	
X	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.05	2.05	8.04E-04	4.51E-05	6.29E-14	0.011	2.45E-07
End of life	Transport	C2	1.89	1.92	-0.044	0.020	1.88E-13	0.007	7.83E-06
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	14.6	15.0	-0.499	0.047	3.82E-11	0.107	3.02E-05
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.33E+03	2.33E+03	-4.56	0.967	-6.84E-09	5.25	1.72E-04
100% Recycling Scenario		1		20-					
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.05	2.05	8.04E-04	4.51E-05	6.29E-14	0.011	2.45E-07
End of life	Transport	C2	44.8	45.3	-0.973	0.440	4.37E-12	0.209	1.74E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	81.5	81.6	-0.159	0.034	-2.39E-10	0.184	6.03E-06

GWP-total = Global warming potential, total;

GWP-fossil = Global warming potential, fossil; GWP-biogenic = Global warming potential, biogenic;

GWP-luluc = Global warming potential, land use and land use change;

ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; AP = Acidification potential, accumulated exceedance; and

EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment



(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			EP-marine	EP- terrestrial	POCP	ADP- mineral & metals	ADP-fossil	WDP
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		kg N eq	mol N eq	kg NMVOC eq	kg Sb eq	MJ, net calorific value	m ³ world eq deprived
	Raw material supply	Al	0.010	1.16	0.309	7.50E-05	1.21E+03	13.5
	Transport	A2	0.010	0.111	0.025	1.77E-07	45.2	0.032
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	0.428	4.68	1.23	1.37E-06	7.68E+03	1.22
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0.448	5.95	1.56	7.65E-05	8.94E+03	14.8
Construction process	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Repair	B3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Use stage	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational water Use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
%92 Recycling / %8 La	ndfill Scenario							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.004	0.044	0.011	1.25E-08	27.6	0.016
End of life	Transport	C2	0.091	1.01	0.195	2.86E-06	633	0.511
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
/	Disposal	C4	0.002	0.024	0.007	5.54E-08	16.0	0.132
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.141	1.53	0.471	2.71E-06	1.93E+03	3.72
100% Landfill Scenario							/	
X	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.004	0.044	0.011	1.25E-08	27.6	0.016
End of life	Transport	C2	0.003	0.036	0.006	1.38E-07	29.2	0.025
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.028	0.303	0.083	6.92E-07	200	1.65
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.26	13.6	4.20	2.42E-05	1.72E+04	33.2
100% Recycling Scena	irio	1						
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.004	0.044	0.011	1.25E-08	27.6	0.016
End of life	Transport	C2	0.098	1.10	0.212	3.10E-06	685	0.553
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.044	0.477	0.147	8.46E-07	603	1.16

ADP-mineral&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources;

ADP-fossil = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer;

WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption.

The results of the three environmental impact indicators above shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with these indicators. EP-marine = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment;

P-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, accumulated exceedance; POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone; PM = Particulate matter.

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated) Parameters describing environmental impacts

			PM	IRP	ETP-fw	HTP-c	HTP-nc	SQP
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Catego	ory	disease incidence	kBq U ²³⁵ eq	CTUe	CTUh	CTUh	dimensionle
	Raw material supply	A1	6.56E-06	1.93	1.72E-04	9.37E-09	6.12E-07	549
	Transport	A2	5.11E-07	0.008	9.81E-06	6.29E-10	3.73E-08	15.0
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	1.23E-05	0.106	1.91E-05	1.17E-07	5.95E-07	8.41
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.94E-05	2.04	2.01E-04	1.27E-07	1.24E-06	5.72E+02
Construction process	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Repair	B3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ale	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Use stage	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
%92 Recycling / %8 La	ndfill Scenario			/				1
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	6.69E-08	5.08E-04	2.45E-07	6.18E-10	1.84E-08	0.043
End of life	Transport	C2	1.52E-06	0.117	1.61E-04	8.94E-09	5.22E-07	249
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.05E-07	0.021	2.42E-06	1.34E-09	1.48E-07	3.89
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	8.61E-06	-3.80	1.94E-05	4.03E-07	1.56E-06	-182
100% Landfill Scenario						A Z	1	
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	6.69E-08	5.08E-04	2.45E-07	6.18E-10	1.84E-08	0.043
End of life	Transport	C2	3.65E-08	5.46E-03	7.83E-06	4.14E-10	2.45E-08	12.2
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.31E-06	0.263	3.02E-05	1.68E-08	1.85E-06	48.6
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	7.67E-05	-33.9	1.72E-04	3.59E-06	1.39E-05	-1.62E+03
100% Recycling Scene	irio	1						
$\nabla \nabla$	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	6.69E-08	5.08E-04	2.45E-07	6.18E-10	1.84E-08	0.043
End of life	Transport	C2	1.65E-06	0.127	1.74E-04	9.68E-09	5.65E-07	270
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.68E-06	-1.18	6.03E-06	1.26E-07	4.86E-07	-56.8

IRP = Potential human exposure efficiency relative to U235; This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator. HTP-nc = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans; and ETP-fw = Potential comparative toxic unit for ecosystems;

HTP-c = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans;

SQP = Potential soil quality index.

The results of the four environmental impact indicators above shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with these indicators.



(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			PERE	PERM	PERT	PENRE	PENRM	PENRT
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ
	Raw material supply	A1	103	0	103	1.21E+03	0	1.21E+03
	Transport	A2	2.58	0	2.58	45.3	0	45.3
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	7.08	0	7.08	7.70E+03	0	7.70E+03
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.13E+02	0	1.13E+02	8.96E+03	0	8.96E+03
Construction process	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Repair	B3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Use stage	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
use sluge	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
%92 Recycling / %8 La	ndfill Scenario							
1	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.049	0	0.049	27.6	0	27.6
End of life	Transport	C2	42.4	-0	42.4	634	0	634
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	2.61	0	2.61	16.0	0	16.0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-322	0	-322	1.96E+03	0	1.96E+0
100% Landfill Scenario				\sim		TV/	/	
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.049	0	0.049	27.6	0	27.6
End of life	Transport	C2	2.07	0	2.07	29.3	0	29.3
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	32.6	0	32.6	200	0	200
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.87E+03	0	-2.87E+03	1.74E+04	0	1.74E+0
100% Recycling Scena	rio				/			•
NJ	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.049	0	0.049	27.6	0	27.6
End of life	Transport	C2	45.9	0	45.9	687	0	687
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-100	0	-100	610	0	610

PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy used as raw materials;

PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;

PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources;

PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding nonrenewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;

PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resource

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			SM	RSF	NRSF	FW
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		kg	MJ net calorific value	MJ net calorific value	m ³
	Raw material supply	Al	0	0	0	13.5
	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0.032
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	1.06E+03	0	0	1.22
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.06E+03	0	0	14.8
Construction process	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND
stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Repair	ВЗ	ND	ND	ND	ND
Jse stage	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND
Jse sluge	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND
%92 Recycling / %8 La	ndfill Scenario					
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.016
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.511
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
1-1-	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0.132
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-136	0	0	3.72
100% Landfill Scenario			A	/	N/ /	
()	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.016
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.025
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	1.65
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-1.06E+03	0	0	33.2
100% Recycling Scena	rio		1	7		
\times	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.016
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.553
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-55.6	0	0	1.16

SM = Use of secondary material;

NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Net use of fresh water

RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels;

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Life Cuele Stars			HWD	NHWD	RWD
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		kg	kg	kg
	Raw material supply	A1	1.90E-08	2.48	0.018
	Transport	A2	1.62E-10	0.006	5.75E-05
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	4.08E-07	42	8.12E-04
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	4.27E-07	44.6	0.019
Construction	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND
process stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND
	Repair	B3	ND	ND	ND
Use stage	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND
oso siago	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND
	Operational energy use	В6	ND	ND	ND
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND
%92 Recycling / %8 L	andfill Scenario	T			
	Deconstruction,		1.575.11	0.004	7.025.07
	demolition	C1	1.57E-11	0.004	7.03E-06
End of life	Transport	C2	2.30E-09	0.090	8.15E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
Delete l'alle a sufficient	Disposal	C4	3.49E-10	80.1	1.82E-04
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	4.93E-09	3.88	-0.034
100% Landfill Scenari	0				
X	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.57E-11	0.004	7.03E-06
End of life	Transport	C2	1.08E-10	0.004	3.78E-05
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	4.36E-09	1.00E+03	0.002
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	4.40E-08	34.6	-0.306
100% Recycling Scen	ario		X V		
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.57E-11	0.004	7.03E-06
End of life	Transport	C2	2.49E-09	0.097	8.82E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
\sim	Disposal	C4	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.54E-09	1.21	-0.011

HWD = Hazardous waste disposed;

NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed;

RWD = Radioactive waste disposed



(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		CRU	MFR	MER	EE	Biogenic carbon (product)	Biogenic carbon (packaging)
			kg	kg	kg	MJ per energy carrier	kg C	kg C
	Raw material supply	A1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Product stage	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Manufacturing	A3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Repair	B3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Use stage	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
use sluge	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
%92 Recycling / %8 La	ndfill Scenario	10			_			
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	920	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0		0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
100% Landfill Scenario		I.		\sim		TV.	/	
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0		0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
100% Recycling Scena	rio	1	7		1			
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	1.00E+03	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0		0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0

CRU = Components for reuse;

MFR = Materials for recycling

MER = Materials for energy recovery; EE = Exported Energy



Scenarios and additional technical information

Scenario	Parameter	Units	Results				
Modules C1 to C4 End of life	The end-of-life stage starts when the construction product is replaced, dismantled or deconstructed from the buildin or construction works and does not provide any further function. The recovered steel is transported for recycling wh a small portion is assumed to be unrecoverable and remains in the rubble which is sent to landfill. 92% of the reinforci steel is assumed to be recycled and 8% is sent to landfill [STELCONSTRUCTION.INFO 2012]. The EPD covers transport and end-of-life in Oman. Once steel scrap is generated through the deconstruction activities on the demolition site it is considered to ha reached the "end of waste" state. No further processing is required so there are no impacts associated with this modu Hence no impacts are reported in module C3.						
	Waste for recycling - Recovered steel from crushed concrete	%	92				
	Waste for energy recovery - Energy recovery is not considered for this study as most end-of- life steel scrap is recycled, while the remainder is landfilled	- >-	-				
	Waste for final disposal - Unrecoverable steel lost in crushed concrete and sent to landfill	%	2				
	Portion of energy assigned to rebar from energy required to demolish building, per tonne		24				
	Transport to waste processing by Truck - Fuel consumption		1.56				
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Distance	km	463				
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Capacity utilisation	%	85				
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Density of Product	kg/m ³	7850				
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Fuel consumption	litre/km	0.0041				
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Distance	km	158				
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Capacity utilisation	%	50				
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Density of Product	kg/m ³	7850				
Module D	"Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary" (module D) accounts for the environmental benefits and loads resulting from net steel scrap that is used as raw material in the EAF or in induction furnace and that is collected recycling at end of life. The balance between total scrap arisings recycled from fabrication, installation and end of and scrap consumed by the manufacturing process (internally sourced scrap is not included in this calculation). The benefits and loads are calculated by including the burdens of recycling and the benefit of avoided prime production. This study is concerned with billets manufactured from secondary production route in which steel scrap is the me ferrous charge to the EAF or IF, and a limited amount of DRI can also be used. In secondary production route us steel scrap is required as input to the system than is recovered at end of life. In DRI production rout a large amount of net scrap is generated over the life cycle as the iron ore used to obtain DRI is a virgin source a there is a high end of life recycling rate for reinforcing steel products. As majority of the raw material is the steel scrap the net effect is that module D mainly models the burdens associated with the scrap input (secondary material) to the steelmaking process.						
	The resulting scrap credit/burden is calculated based on the global "value of scrap" approc	ach (/worlds	teel 2011).				
	Recycled Content	kg	951				
	Re-used Content	kg	0				
	Recovered for recycling	kg	920				
	Recovered for re-use	kg	0				
		кg	0				



Summary, comments and additional information

Interpretation

The production stage (A1-A3) is the most important module for climate change, eutrophication freshwater, resource use (mineral and metals) and resource use (energy carriers) as well as water scarcity

Module D presents a significant credit in all impact categories, except for ODP. Impacts from other life cycle stages are negligible in comparison.

References

BSI. Sustainability of construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products. BS EN 15804:2012+A2:2019. London, BSI, 2019.

BSI. Environmental labels and declarations. Self-declared environmental claims (Type II environmental labelling). BS EN ISO 14021:2016+A1:2021. London, BSI, 2022

BSI. Environmental labels and declarations – Type III Environmental declarations – Principles and procedures. BS EN ISO 14025:2010 (exactly identical to ISO 14025:2006). London, BSI, 2010.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework. BS EN ISO BS EN ISO 14040:2006+A1:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – requirements and guidelines. BS EN ISO 14044:2006+A2:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

BSI. Sustainability of construction works. Data quality for environmental assessment of products and construction work. Selection and use of data. BS EN 15941:2024. London, 2024.

BSI. Sustainability of construction works. Environmental product declarations. Communication format business-tobusiness. BS EN 15942:2021. London, 2021.

BSI. Eurocode. Basis of structural and geotechnical design. BS EN 1990:2023. London, 2023.

Demolition Energy Analysis of Office Building Structural Systems, Athena Sustainable Materials Institute, 1997

The Concrete Society, Design working life (concrete.org.uk)

LCA for Experts (LCA FE) Software System and Database for Life Cycle Engineering, Sphera Solution GmbH, Leinfelden-Echterdingen, 2021

LCA for Experts (LCA FE) dataset documentation for the LCA FE Software System and Database for Life Cycle Engineering, Sphera Solution GmbH, Leinfelden-Echterdingen, 2021

International Energy Agency, Energy Statistics 2013. http://www.iea.org

Kreißig, J. und J. Kümmel (1999): Baustoff-Ökobilanzen. Wirkungsabschätzung und Auswertung in der Steine-Erden-Industrie. Hrsg. Bundesverband Baustoffe Steine + Erden e.V.

U.S. Geological Survey, Mineral Commodity Summaries, Iron and Steel Slag, January 2014

SteelConstruction.info; The recycling and reuse survey, 2012 http://www.steelconstruction.info/The_recycling_and_reuse_survey



Environmental Product Declaration

Sustainability of construction works - Environmental product declarations - Methodology for selection and use of generic data; German version CEN/TR 15941

REG<mark>ULATION (EU) No 305/2011 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 9 March 2011 laying down harmonised conditions for the marketing of construction products and repealing Council Directive 89/106/EEC</mark>

WRAP (2017). WRAP (Waste & Resources Action Programme) Net Waste Tool

worldsteel Association - Life cycle inventory methodology report for steel products, 2017

BS 4449:2005+A3:2016 Steel for the reinforcement of concrete. Weldable reinforcing steel. Bar, coil and decoiled product. Specification.

ASTM A615/A615M - 24 Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Carbon-Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement.

SASO ASTM A615/A615M+A1:2023 - Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Carbon-Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement

ISO 6935-2:2019 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete - Part 2: Ribbed bars.